

PARADOSSO

Rivista di Filosofia

ETHICAL CODE

“Paradosso” is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal that aims to maintain the highest levels of publication ethics.

The journal adheres to the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors, as well as COPE’s Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers. Our guidelines draw upon existing Elsevier policies.

Duties of Authors

Authors submitting reports of original research must provide an accurate representation of the work executed and an unbiased discussion of its importance. Authorship should only include individuals who have significantly contributed to the formulation, design, implementation, or interpretation of the study under discussion.

Authors are obliged to ensure that submitted articles are original and do not wholly or partially republish earlier work.

All data must be genuine, and the submitted work should be the authentic effort of the contributing author(s). Plagiarism, including the re-use or paraphrasing of text, data, figures, or images without appropriate acknowledgment, is not permitted. Accusations of plagiarism will be meticulously investigated, following COPE guidelines.

It falls under the authors’ responsibility to appropriately recognize all contributors and to confirm that the author list precisely reflects individual contributions.

Authors should verify that they have secured permission for copyrighted material included in their submission and should not include copyrighted material from other sources without appropriate permission.

Authors must not submit manuscripts under consideration by other publications and should properly credit all referenced work.

Authors are required to issue retractions or corrections for errors.

Duties of Peer Reviewers and Review Process

The act of publishing in a peer-reviewed journal contributes fundamentally to the evolution of a respected and coherent body of knowledge.

The quality of published articles directly reflects the integrity of the contributing authors and supporting institutions. Referees are chosen based on their subject-matter expertise.

The identities of both the reviewers and the authors are kept confidential during the double-blind review process.

Reviewers are mandated to offer unbiased assessments, to declare any conflicts of interest, to identify any uncited but relevant published work, and to handle reviewed manuscripts confidentially.

Duties of the Editor

The editor is responsible for the final decision on the publication of submitted manuscripts. Decisions are guided by the journal's editorial policies and relevant legal considerations such as libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism.

The editor has the authority to:

- Reject or accept articles
- Proceed with publication only when reasonably certain of a manuscript's integrity
- Issue corrections or retractions for identified errors
- Preserve the anonymity of reviewers
- Avoid conflicts of interest concerning submitted articles

If an editor perceives a potential conflict of interest, they will disclose it to the co-editors.

Conflict of Interest

Conflicts of interest should be revealed as early as possible in the submission process. An author's personal interests must not influence the impartiality of research. Corresponding authors are responsible for confirming if co-authors have conflicts of interest.

Publishing Ethics Issues

Members of the Editorial Board are committed to upholding the journal's publishing ethics, including a stringent policy against plagiarism and fraudulent data. The Board is also committed to issuing corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies when required, without allowing business considerations to compromise ethical and intellectual standards.

In cases where a published paper is found to contain inaccuracies, misleading statements, or fraudulent data, appropriate corrections or retractions will be issued promptly.